



Extremal maximal isotropic codes of Type I-IV

Annika Meyer

RWTH Aachen University

16.04.2010, Thurnau

Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Extremal self-dual codes of Type I-IV
 - The classical Types I-IV
 - Extremality and a uniqueness result
- 3 Maximal self-orthogonal codes
 - Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes
 - A uniqueness result
 - Examples



Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. A *code* of length N is a subspace $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$.

Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. A *code* of length N is a subspace $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$.

Let α be an automorphism of \mathbb{F} , of order 1 or 2. The *dual* of C is

$$C^\perp := \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^N \mid \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \alpha(c_i) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C \right\},$$

which is, again, a code.



Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. A *code* of length N is a subspace $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$.

Let α be an automorphism of \mathbb{F} , of order 1 or 2. The *dual* of C is

$$C^\perp := \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^N \mid \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \alpha(c_i) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C \right\},$$

which is, again, a code.

If $C \subseteq C^\perp$ then C is called *self-orthogonal*.



Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. A *code* of length N is a subspace $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$.

Let α be an automorphism of \mathbb{F} , of order 1 or 2. The *dual* of C is

$$C^\perp := \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^N \mid \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \alpha(c_i) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C \right\},$$

which is, again, a code.

If $C \subseteq C^\perp$ then C is called *self-orthogonal*.

If $C = C^\perp$ then C is called *self-dual*.



The (*Hamming*) *weight* of $v \in \mathbb{F}^N$ is the number of nonzero entries in v , denoted by $\text{wt}(v)$.



The (*Hamming*) *weight* of $v \in \mathbb{F}^N$ is the number of nonzero entries in v , denoted by $\text{wt}(v)$.

The *minimum weight* of a code $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$ is

$$d(C) := \min_{0 \neq c \in C} \text{wt}(c).$$



The (*Hamming*) *weight* of $v \in \mathbb{F}^N$ is the number of nonzero entries in v , denoted by $\text{wt}(v)$.

The *minimum weight* of a code $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$ is

$$d(C) := \min_{0 \neq c \in C} \text{wt}(c).$$

Due to the linearity of C ,

$$d(C) = \min_{c \neq c' \in C} |\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid c_i \neq c'_i\}|.$$



The (*Hamming*) *weight* of $v \in \mathbb{F}^N$ is the number of nonzero entries in v , denoted by $\text{wt}(v)$.

The *minimum weight* of a code $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$ is

$$d(C) := \min_{0 \neq c \in C} \text{wt}(c).$$

Due to the linearity of C ,

$$d(C) = \min_{c \neq c' \in C} |\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid c_i \neq c'_i\}|.$$

Using C , one can



The (*Hamming*) *weight* of $v \in \mathbb{F}^N$ is the number of nonzero entries in v , denoted by $\text{wt}(v)$.

The *minimum weight* of a code $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$ is

$$d(C) := \min_{0 \neq c \in C} \text{wt}(c).$$

Due to the linearity of C ,

$$d(C) = \min_{c \neq c' \in C} |\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid c_i \neq c'_i\}|.$$

Using C , one can

- detect up to $d(C) - 1$ errors,



The (*Hamming*) *weight* of $v \in \mathbb{F}^N$ is the number of nonzero entries in v , denoted by $\text{wt}(v)$.

The *minimum weight* of a code $C \leq \mathbb{F}^N$ is

$$d(C) := \min_{0 \neq c \in C} \text{wt}(c).$$

Due to the linearity of C ,

$$d(C) = \min_{c \neq c' \in C} |\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid c_i \neq c'_i\}|.$$

Using C , one can

- detect up to $d(C) - 1$ errors,
- correct up to $\lfloor \frac{d(C)-1}{2} \rfloor$ errors.



The classical Types I-IV

Theorem (Gleason, Pierce 1967)

Let $C = C^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{wt}(c) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in C$. Then one of the following holds.



The classical Types I-IV

Theorem (Gleason, Pierce 1967)

Let $C = C^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{wt}(c) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in C$. Then one of the following holds.

(I) $q = 2$ and $m = 2$ (self-dual binary codes)



The classical Types I-IV

Theorem (Gleason, Pierce 1967)

Let $C = C^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{wt}(c) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in C$. Then one of the following holds.

- (I) $q = 2$ and $m = 2$ (self-dual binary codes),*
- (II) $q = 2$ and $m = 4$ (doubly-even self-dual binary codes)*



The classical Types I-IV

Theorem (Gleason, Pierce 1967)

Let $C = C^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{wt}(c) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in C$. Then one of the following holds.

- (I) $q = 2$ and $m = 2$ (self-dual binary codes),*
- (II) $q = 2$ and $m = 4$ (doubly-even self-dual binary codes),*
- (III) $q = 3$ and $m = 3$ (self-dual ternary codes)*



The classical Types I-IV

Theorem (Gleason, Pierce 1967)

Let $C = C^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{wt}(c) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in C$. Then one of the following holds.

- (I) $q = 2$ and $m = 2$ (self-dual binary codes),*
- (II) $q = 2$ and $m = 4$ (doubly-even self-dual binary codes),*
- (III) $q = 3$ and $m = 3$ (self-dual ternary codes),*
- (IV) $q = 4$ and $m = 2$ (quaternary Hermitian self-dual codes)*



The classical Types I-IV

Theorem (Gleason, Pierce 1967)

Let $C = C^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{wt}(c) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in C$. Then one of the following holds.

- (I) $q = 2$ and $m = 2$ (self-dual binary codes),
- (II) $q = 2$ and $m = 4$ (doubly-even self-dual binary codes),
- (III) $q = 3$ and $m = 3$ (self-dual ternary codes),
- (IV) $q = 4$ and $m = 2$ (quaternary Hermitian self-dual codes),
- (o) $q = 4$ and $m = 2$ (certain Euclidean self-dual codes)



The classical Types I-IV

Theorem (Gleason, Pierce 1967)

Let $C = C^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{wt}(c) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in C$. Then one of the following holds.

- (I) $q = 2$ and $m = 2$ (self-dual binary codes),
- (II) $q = 2$ and $m = 4$ (doubly-even self-dual binary codes),
- (III) $q = 3$ and $m = 3$ (self-dual ternary codes),
- (IV) $q = 4$ and $m = 2$ (quaternary Hermitian self-dual codes),
- (o) $q = 4$ and $m = 2$ (certain Euclidean self-dual codes),
- (d) $m = 2$ and $C \cong \perp^{N/2} (1, a)$, where either q is even and $a = 1$ or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $a^2 = -1$ or α has order 2 and $a \cdot \alpha(a) = -1$.



The first four Types in the previous theorem are named I-IV.



Extremality and a uniqueness result

The first four Types in the previous theorem are named I-IV.

Theorem

Let $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and let C be a self-dual Type T code of length N . Then $d(C) \leq \delta(T, N)$, where

$$\delta(T, N) := \begin{cases} 2 + 2 \lfloor \frac{N}{8} \rfloor, & T = I \\ 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor, & T = II \\ 3 + 3 \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor, & T = III \\ 2 + 2 \lfloor \frac{N}{6} \rfloor, & T = IV. \end{cases}$$



Extremality and a uniqueness result

The first four Types in the previous theorem are named I-IV.

Theorem

Let $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and let C be a self-dual Type T code of length N . Then $d(C) \leq \delta(T, N)$, where

$$\delta(T, N) := \begin{cases} 2 + 2 \lfloor \frac{N}{8} \rfloor, & T = I \\ 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor, & T = II \\ 3 + 3 \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor, & T = III \\ 2 + 2 \lfloor \frac{N}{6} \rfloor, & T = IV. \end{cases}$$

If $d(C)$ reaches the above bound then C is called *extremal*.



We can read off $d(C)$ from the *(Hamming) weight enumerator*

$$\text{we}(C) := \sum_{c \in C} y^{\text{wt}(c)} x^{N-\text{wt}(c)} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y],$$

a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree N which counts the codewords of each Hamming weight.



We can read off $d(C)$ from the (*Hamming*) *weight enumerator*

$$\text{we}(C) := \sum_{c \in C} y^{\text{wt}(c)} x^{N-\text{wt}(c)} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y],$$

a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree N which counts the codewords of each Hamming weight.

If C has minimum weight d then $\text{we}(C)$ is of the form



Extremality and a uniqueness result

We can read off $d(C)$ from the (*Hamming*) *weight enumerator*

$$\text{we}(C) := \sum_{c \in C} y^{\text{wt}(c)} x^{N-\text{wt}(c)} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y],$$

a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree N which counts the codewords of each Hamming weight.

If C has minimum weight d then $\text{we}(C)$ is of the form

$$x^N$$



Extremality and a uniqueness result

We can read off $d(C)$ from the (*Hamming*) *weight enumerator*

$$\text{we}(C) := \sum_{c \in C} y^{\text{wt}(c)} x^{N-\text{wt}(c)} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y],$$

a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree N which counts the codewords of each Hamming weight.

If C has minimum weight d then $\text{we}(C)$ is of the form

$$x^N + a_d y^d x^{N-d}$$



Extremality and a uniqueness result

We can read off $d(C)$ from the (*Hamming*) *weight enumerator*

$$\text{we}(C) := \sum_{c \in C} y^{\text{wt}(c)} x^{N-\text{wt}(c)} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y],$$

a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree N which counts the codewords of each Hamming weight.

If C has minimum weight d then $\text{we}(C)$ is of the form

$$x^N + a_d y^d x^{N-d} + \dots + a_N y^N.$$



Extremality and a uniqueness result

Theorem

If C is a self-dual Code of Type I, II, III or IV then $we(C) \in \mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ according to the table below.

T	f_T	g_T
I	$x^2 + y^2$ i_2	$x^2y^2(x^2 - y^2)^2$ Hamming code e_8
II	$x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8$ Hamming code e_8	$x^4y^4(x^4 - y^4)^4$ binary Golay code g_{24}
III	$x^4 + 8xy^3$ tetra code t_4	$y^3(x^3 - y^3)^3$ ternary Golay code g_{12}
IV	$x^2 + 3y^2$ $i_2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_4$	$y^2(x^2 - y^2)^2$ hexa code h_6



Extremality and a uniqueness result

Fix an integer N and a Type $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and let $\delta := \delta(T, N)$.



Extremality and a uniqueness result

Fix an integer N and a Type $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and let $\delta := \delta(T, N)$.
 There exists a *unique* element in $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ of the form

$$x^N + a_\delta y^\delta x^{N-\delta} + \dots + a_N y^N,$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.



Extremality and a uniqueness result

Fix an integer N and a Type $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and let $\delta := \delta(T, N)$.
 There exists a *unique* element in $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ of the form

$$x^N + a_\delta y^\delta x^{N-\delta} + \dots + a_N y^N,$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Using the Bürmann-Lagrange formula, one computes that $a_\delta \neq 0$.



Extremality and a uniqueness result

Fix an integer N and a Type $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and let $\delta := \delta(T, N)$.
 There exists a *unique* element in $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ of the form

$$x^N + a_\delta y^\delta x^{N-\delta} + \dots + a_N y^N,$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Using the Bürmann-Lagrange formula, one computes that $a_\delta \neq 0$.

Corollary

The weight enumerator of an extremal self-dual code of Type I-IV is unique.



The length of a self-dual Type T code, $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$, is always a multiple of

$$o_T := \deg(f_T) = \min(\{\deg(f_T), \deg(g_T)\}).$$



The length of a self-dual Type T code, $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$, is always a multiple of

$$o_T := \deg(f_T) = \min(\{\deg(f_T), \deg(g_T)\}).$$

T	I	II	III	IV
o_T	2	8	4	2



The length of a self-dual Type T code, $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$, is always a multiple of

$$o_T := \deg(f_T) = \min(\{\deg(f_T), \deg(g_T)\}).$$

T	I	II	III	IV
o_T	2	8	4	2

Now assume that N is no multiple of o_T .



The length of a self-dual Type T code, $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$, is always a multiple of

$$o_T := \deg(f_T) = \min(\{\deg(f_T), \deg(g_T)\}).$$

T	I	II	III	IV
o_T	2	8	4	2

Now assume that N is no multiple of o_T .

Consider *maximal self-orthogonal* (m. s.-o.) codes, i.e. $C \subseteq C^\perp$ and if $C \subseteq D$ for a code $D \subseteq D^\perp$, then $C = D$.



Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes

Theorem

Let C be a m. s.-o. Type II code of length $N \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then

$$d(C^\perp) \leq 3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor.$$



Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes

Theorem

Let C be a *m. s.-o. Type II code* of length $N \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then

$$d(C^\perp) \leq 3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor.$$

Proof.

Assume that $d(C^\perp) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$.



Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes

Theorem

Let C be a *m. s.-o. Type II code* of length $N \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then

$$d(C^\perp) \leq 3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor.$$

Proof.

Assume that $d(C^\perp) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$. From the theory of Witt groups, $C^\perp = \langle C, v \rangle$, where $\text{wt}(v) \equiv_4 3$.



Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes

Theorem

Let C be a m . s.-o. Type II code of length $N \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then $d(C^\perp) \leq 3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$.

Proof.

Assume that $d(C^\perp) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$. From the theory of Witt groups, $C^\perp = \langle C, v \rangle$, where $\text{wt}(v) \equiv_4 3$.

Let $E = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{N+1}$. Then $E = E^\perp$ is Type II, and



Theorem

Let C be a m . s.-o. Type II code of length $N \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then $d(C^\perp) \leq 3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$.

Proof.

Assume that $d(C^\perp) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$. From the theory of Witt groups, $C^\perp = \langle C, v \rangle$, where $\text{wt}(v) \equiv_4 3$.

Let $E = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{N+1}$. Then $E = E^\perp$ is Type II, and

- $d(E) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$, hence E is extremal (i.e. equality holds). Thus the words in E of weight $d(E)$ hold a design.



Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes

Theorem

Let C be a m . s.-o. Type II code of length $N \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then $d(C^\perp) \leq 3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$.

Proof.

Assume that $d(C^\perp) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$. From the theory of Witt groups, $C^\perp = \langle C, v \rangle$, where $\text{wt}(v) \equiv_4 3$.

Let $E = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{N+1}$. Then $E = E^\perp$ is Type II, and

- $d(E) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$, hence E is extremal (i.e. equality holds). Thus the words in E of weight $d(E)$ hold a design.
- $\{e \in E \mid \text{wt}(e) = d(E)\} = \{(c \ 0) \mid c \in C^\perp, \text{wt}(c) = d(E)\}$.



Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes

Theorem

Let C be a m . s.-o. Type II code of length $N \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Then $d(C^\perp) \leq 3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$.

Proof.

Assume that $d(C^\perp) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$. From the theory of Witt groups, $C^\perp = \langle C, v \rangle$, where $\text{wt}(v) \equiv_4 3$.

Let $E = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{N+1}$. Then $E = E^\perp$ is Type II, and

- $d(E) \geq 4 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$, hence E is extremal (i.e. equality holds). Thus the words in E of weight $d(E)$ hold a design.
- $\{e \in E \mid \text{wt}(e) = d(E)\} = \{(c \ 0) \mid c \in C^\perp, \text{wt}(c) = d(E)\}$.

This is a contradiction, hence $d(C^\perp) \leq 3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N+1}{24} \rfloor$. □



Theorem

Let $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and let C be a maximal self-orthogonal Type T code of length N . Then $d(C^\perp) \leq \delta(T, N)$, where $\delta(T, N)$ is given in the table below.



Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes

Theorem

Let $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and let C be a maximal self-orthogonal Type T code of length N . Then $d(C^\perp) \leq \delta(T, N)$, where $\delta(T, N)$ is given in the table below.

Definition

A m. s.-o. code whose minimum distance reaches the above bound is called *dual extremal*.



Extremality for maximal self-orthogonal codes

T	N	$\delta(T, N)$
I	$N \not\equiv_{24} 23$	$\delta(1, N + 1)$
	23 (24)	$3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor$
II	1, 9 or 17 (24)	$1 + \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor + 3 \lfloor \frac{N+7}{24} \rfloor$
	2 (24)	$\lfloor \frac{N+8}{6} \rfloor$
	3, 11 or 19 (24)	$1 + 2 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{N+5}{24} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{N+13}{24} \rfloor$
	4 (24)	$\frac{N+8}{6}$
	5 (24)	$1 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor$
	6 (24)	$2 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor$
	7, 13, 14 or 15 (24)	$3 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor$
	10 or 18 (24)	$1 + \lfloor \frac{N}{8} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{N+8}{24} \rfloor$
	12 (24)	$\frac{N}{6}$

T	N	$\delta(T, N)$
II	20 (24)	$\frac{N+4}{6}$
	21 (24)	$5 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor$
	22 (24)	$6 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor$
	23 (24)	$7 + 4 \lfloor \frac{N}{24} \rfloor$
III	1, 5 or 9 (12)	$3 + 3 \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor$
	2 (12)	$1 + 3 \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor$
	3, 6 or 7 (12)	$2 + 3 \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor$
	10 (12)	$4 + 3 \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor$
	11 (12)	$5 + 3 \lfloor \frac{N}{12} \rfloor$
IV	1 or 3 (6)	$1 + 2 \lfloor \frac{N}{6} \rfloor$
	5 (6)	$3 + 2 \lfloor \frac{N}{6} \rfloor$



A uniqueness result

Theorem

The Hamming weight enumerator of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of Type II, III or IV is uniquely determined.



A uniqueness result

Theorem

The Hamming weight enumerator of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of Type II, III or IV is uniquely determined.

What is the algebraic structure of the vector space generated by weight enumerators of m. s.-o. codes of Type I-IV?



A uniqueness result

Theorem

The Hamming weight enumerator of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of Type II, III or IV is uniquely determined.

What is the algebraic structure of the vector space generated by weight enumerators of m. s.-o. codes of Type I-IV?

Definition

For $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, o_T - 1\}$, let

$$I_k^T := \langle \text{we}(C) \mid C \text{ m. s.-o. Type } T \text{ code of length } \equiv k \pmod{o_T} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$



A uniqueness result

Theorem

The Hamming weight enumerator of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of Type II, III or IV is uniquely determined.

What is the algebraic structure of the vector space generated by weight enumerators of m. s.-o. codes of Type I-IV?

Definition

For $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, o_T - 1\}$, let

$$I_k^T := \langle \text{we}(C) \mid C \text{ m. s.-o. Type } T \text{ code of length } \equiv k \pmod{o_T} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let C be a m. s.-o. Type T code of length $\equiv k \pmod{o_T}$, and let D be a self-dual Type T code.



A uniqueness result

Theorem

The Hamming weight enumerator of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of Type II, III or IV is uniquely determined.

What is the algebraic structure of the vector space generated by weight enumerators of m. s.-o. codes of Type I-IV?

Definition

For $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, o_T - 1\}$, let

$$I_k^T := \langle \text{we}(C) \mid C \text{ m. s.-o. Type } T \text{ code of length } \equiv k \pmod{o_T} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let C be a m. s.-o. Type T code of length $\equiv k \pmod{o_T}$, and let D be a self-dual Type T code.

Then $C \perp D$ is a m. s.-o. Type T code of length $\equiv k \pmod{o_T}$.



A uniqueness result

Theorem

The Hamming weight enumerator of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of Type II, III or IV is uniquely determined.

What is the algebraic structure of the vector space generated by weight enumerators of m. s.-o. codes of Type I-IV?

Definition

For $T \in \{I, \dots, IV\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, o_T - 1\}$, let

$$I_k^T := \langle \text{we}(C) \mid C \text{ m. s.-o. Type } T \text{ code of length } \equiv k \pmod{o_T} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let C be a m. s.-o. Type T code of length $\equiv k \pmod{o_T}$, and let D be a self-dual Type T code.

Then $C \perp D$ is a m. s.-o. Type T code of length $\equiv k \pmod{o_T}$.



A uniqueness result

Remark

The space I_k^T is a module for $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$.



A uniqueness result

Remark

The space I_k^T is a module for $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$.

Theorem

The $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ -module I_k^T is free and finitely generated.



A uniqueness result

Remark

The space I_k^T is a module for $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$.

Theorem

The $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ -module I_k^T is free and finitely generated.

Bases for the $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ -module I_k^T are given in the book "Self-dual codes and invariant theory" by Nebe, Rains and Sloane.



A uniqueness result

Remark

The space I_k^T is a module for $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$.

Theorem

The $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ -module I_k^T is free and finitely generated.

Bases for the $\mathbb{C}[f_T, g_T]$ -module I_k^T are given in the book "Self-dual codes and invariant theory" by Nebe, Rains and Sloane. There exists a *triangular* basis p_0, \dots, p_r of

$$(I_k^T)_N := \{p \in I_k^T \mid p \text{ homogeneous of degree } N\},$$

for every integer $N \equiv k \pmod{o_T}$.



A uniqueness result

$$p_i(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y}) = c_i^{(0)} y^0 + \dots + c_i^{(N)} y^N$$



A uniqueness result

$$p_i(1, y) = c_i^{(0)} y^0 + \dots + c_i^{(N)} y^N$$

	y^0	y^1	\dots	y^k	y^{k+1}	y^{k+2}	\dots
p_0	$c_0^{(0)}$	$c_1^{(0)}$	\dots	$c_k^{(0)}$	0	$c_{k+2}^{(0)}$	\dots
p_1	0	$c_1^{(1)}$	\dots	$c_k^{(1)}$	0	$c_{k+2}^{(1)}$	\dots
\vdots	\vdots		\ddots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	
p_k	\vdots			$c_k^{(k)}$	0		
p_{k+1}	0	\dots			0	$c_{k+1}^{(k+2)}$	
\vdots	\vdots				0	\vdots	



Examples

If $T \in \{\text{II}, \text{III}, \text{IV}\}$ and $N \equiv -1 \pmod{o_T}$ then puncturing an extremal self-dual code of length $N + 1$ yields the dual of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of length N .



Examples

If $T \in \{\text{II}, \text{III}, \text{IV}\}$ and $N \equiv -1 \pmod{o_T}$ then puncturing an extremal self-dual code of length $N + 1$ yields the dual of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of length N .

- The dual of the binary $[7, 4, 3]$ Hamming code is the unique dual extremal Type II code of length 7.



Examples

If $T \in \{\text{II}, \text{III}, \text{IV}\}$ and $N \equiv -1 \pmod{o_T}$ then puncturing an extremal self-dual code of length $N + 1$ yields the dual of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of length N .

- The dual of the binary $[7, 4, 3]$ Hamming code is the unique dual extremal Type II code of length 7.
- The dual of the ternary $[11, 6, 5]$ Golay code is the unique dual extremal Type III code of length 11.



Examples

If $T \in \{\text{II}, \text{III}, \text{IV}\}$ and $N \equiv -1 \pmod{o_T}$ then puncturing an extremal self-dual code of length $N + 1$ yields the dual of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of length N .

- The dual of the binary $[7, 4, 3]$ Hamming code is the unique dual extremal Type II code of length 7.
- The dual of the ternary $[11, 6, 5]$ Golay code is the unique dual extremal Type III code of length 11.

This is false for $T = \text{I}$ and $N = 17$, e.g. $(\delta(1, 18) = 4 = \delta(1, 17))$.



Examples

If $T \in \{\text{II}, \text{III}, \text{IV}\}$ and $N \equiv -1 \pmod{o_T}$ then puncturing an extremal self-dual code of length $N + 1$ yields the dual of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of length N .

- The dual of the binary $[7, 4, 3]$ Hamming code is the unique dual extremal Type II code of length 7.
- The dual of the ternary $[11, 6, 5]$ Golay code is the unique dual extremal Type III code of length 11.

This is false for $T = \text{I}$ and $N = 17$, e.g. ($\delta(1, 18) = 4 = \delta(1, 17)$).
Let C, D be the two extremal self-dual $[18, 9, 4]$ codes.



Examples

If $T \in \{\text{II}, \text{III}, \text{IV}\}$ and $N \equiv -1 \pmod{o_T}$ then puncturing an extremal self-dual code of length $N + 1$ yields the dual of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of length N .

- The dual of the binary $[7, 4, 3]$ Hamming code is the unique dual extremal Type II code of length 7.
- The dual of the ternary $[11, 6, 5]$ Golay code is the unique dual extremal Type III code of length 11.

This is false for $T = \text{I}$ and $N = 17$, e.g. ($\delta(1, 18) = 4 = \delta(1, 17)$). Let C, D be the two extremal self-dual $[18, 9, 4]$ codes.

- Puncturing C at a particular position yields the dual of a dual extremal $[17, 8]$ code.



Examples

If $T \in \{\text{II}, \text{III}, \text{IV}\}$ and $N \equiv -1 \pmod{o_T}$ then puncturing an extremal self-dual code of length $N + 1$ yields the dual of a dual extremal m. s.-o. code of length N .

- The dual of the binary $[7, 4, 3]$ Hamming code is the unique dual extremal Type II code of length 7.
- The dual of the ternary $[11, 6, 5]$ Golay code is the unique dual extremal Type III code of length 11.

This is false for $T = \text{I}$ and $N = 17$, e.g. ($\delta(1, 18) = 4 = \delta(1, 17)$). Let C, D be the two extremal self-dual $[18, 9, 4]$ codes.

- Puncturing C at a particular position yields the dual of a dual extremal $[17, 8]$ code.
- Puncturing D at any position yields codes of minimum weight 3.