### Construction of Optimal Linear Codes

Axel Kohnert Thurnau April 2005

Bayreuth University kohnert@uni-bayreuth.de www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de



### Construction of Sometimes Optimal Linear Codes

Axel Kohnert Thurnau April 2005

Bayreuth University kohnert@uni-bayreuth.de www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de





## A *linear* (n, k, q) *code* C is a k-dimensional subspace of the vectorspace $GF(q)^n$ .





### Linear Code

A *linear* (n, k, q) *code* C is a k-dimensional subspace of the vectorspace  $GF(q)^n$ .

The *generator matrix*  $\Gamma$  of a linear (n, k, q) code C is a  $k \times n$  matrix where each row is a basis element of the code C.



### Linear Code

A *linear* (n, k, q) *code* C is a k-dimensional subspace of the vectorspace  $GF(q)^n$ .

The *generator matrix*  $\Gamma$  of a linear (n, k, q) code C is a  $k \times n$  matrix where each row is a basis element of the code C.

$$C = \{v\Gamma : v \in GF(q)^k\}$$



### **Minimum Distance**

The minimum distance of a linear code is the minimum number of nonzero entries (=weight) of all nonzero codewords.



### **Minimum Distance**

The minimum distance of a linear code is the minimum number of nonzero entries (=weight) of all nonzero codewords.

We want to find generator matrices  $\Gamma$  of good codes, this means the weight  $wt(v\Gamma)$  is high for all nonzero  $v \in GF(q)^k$ .



### **Minimum Distance**

The minimum distance of a linear code is the minimum number of nonzero entries (=weight) of all nonzero codewords.

We want to find generator matrices  $\Gamma$  of good codes, this means the weight  $wt(v\Gamma)$  is high for all nonzero  $v \in GF(q)^k$ .

A linear code is called *optimal* if the minimum distance is at the upper bound, so no better linear code for (n, k, q) is possible, and the upper bound could be met.



We build a matrix M whose columns are labeled by the possible columns  $\gamma$  of the generator matrix. Rows are labeled by the nonzero  $v \in GF(q)^k$  which produce after the multiplication with the generator matrix the codewords of the code.







•



$$\begin{array}{c} \gamma \\ \downarrow \\ M = \boxed{M_{v,\gamma}} \leftarrow v \end{array}$$

. – p.6/18



•

$$M = M_{v,\gamma} \leftarrow v$$

$$M_{v,\gamma} = \{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & v\gamma = 0\\ 0 & v\gamma \neq 0 \end{array}$$

. – p.6/18



۲

### **Diophantine System of Equations**

We interested in an integral (or 0/1) solution  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{q^k-1})$  of the system

(1) 
$$Mx \leq \begin{pmatrix} n-d \\ \vdots \\ n-d \end{pmatrix}$$
  
(2)  $\sum x_i = n$ 



### **Diophantine System of Equations**

We interested in an integral (or 0/1) solution  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{q^k-1})$  of the system

(1) 
$$Mx \leq \begin{pmatrix} n-d \\ \vdots \\ n-d \end{pmatrix}$$
  
(2)  $\sum x_i = n$ 

A solution corresponds via selection of columns of the generator matrix to an (n, k, q) code with minimum distance  $\geq d$ .



### **Projective Geometry**

As we are computing scalar products, the 0/nonzero property is invariant under scalar multiplication, so we can label rows and columns by 1-dimensional subspaces of  $GF(q)^k$ .



### **Projective Geometry**

As we are computing scalar products, the 0/nonzero property is invariant under scalar multiplication, so we can label rows and columns by 1-dimensional subspaces of  $GF(q)^k$ .

*M* is after this reduction the incidence matrix between the 1-dimensional subspaces and the (k-1)-dimensional subspaces of  $GF(q)^k$ .



### **Automorphisms**

We now further reduce the size of the system of equations by prescribing a groups of automorphisms, this method corresponds to choosing complete orbits of subgroups of GL(k,q) on the 1-dimensional subspaces as possible columns of the generator matrix.



### **Automorphisms**

We now further reduce the size of the system of equations by prescribing a groups of automorphisms, this method corresponds to choosing complete orbits of subgroups of GL(k,q) on the 1-dimensional subspaces as possible columns of the generator matrix.

This further reduces the number of columns, in our system of equations, as the dimension is now the number of orbits.



### Reduction

# The defining property of the incidence matrix $M_{U,V} = 1 \iff U \leq V$

is invariant under the automorphisms.



### Reduction

# The defining property of the incidence matrix $M_{U,V} = 1 \iff U \leq V$

is invariant under the automorphisms.

This also reduces the number of rows in the same way, the dimension is also the number of orbits.



We computed a new (103, 5, 8) code with minimum distance 84.



## We computed a new (103, 5, 8) code with minimum distance 84.







## We computed a new (103, 5, 8) code with minimum distance 84.

$$q^k - 1 \qquad \quad \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1}$$

 $32767 \rightarrow 4681$ 



We computed a new (103, 5, 8) code with minimum distance 84.





We computed a new (103, 5, 8) code with minimum distance 84.





### **Searching for Groups**

We use random subgroups of GL(k,q).

- Permutation groups
- Blockdiagonal
- Monomial
- random cyclic generator



### **Searching for Groups**

We use random subgroups of GL(k,q).

- Permutation groups
- Blockdiagonal
- Monomial
- random cyclic generator

Limits on orbit sizes, number of orbits, ....



Using this method we computed over 400 new codes for  $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$ , i.e. codes better than the previous lower bound.



Using this method we computed over 400 new codes for  $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$ , i.e. codes better than the previous lower bound.

Among these there are more than 50 optimal codes.



Ternary Codes (q=3)

k	n	d	k	n	d	k	n	d.
6	191	<u>126</u>	7	46	<u>26</u>	8	64	<u>37</u>
ľ,	201	131		59	34		65	37
	202	<u>132</u>		60	36		200	126
	217	142		61	36		205	128
	219	144	12	222	144	300	224	141
				243	156		225	141
							226	142
							227	143
							228	144

۲

. – p.14/18

Last update on March, 22 2005



•

#### **Code details**

best found code with parameters q=3 k=7 n=60minimum distance = 36

#### this is new optimal code

the previous bounds were 34/36 this is a projective code

We used the prescribed group of automorphisms with the following generators

. – p.15/18

0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	C
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	C
0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	C
0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	C
0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	C
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	C
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2



This group make 67 orbits of sizes:

The solution of the corresponding linear system of equations was found after less than 22 seconds:



This produces the following generator matrix



. – p.17/18

Which is a code with the following weight distribution  $1y^{60}+742x^{36}y^{24}+444x^{39}y^{21}+564x^{42}y^{18}+400x^{45}y^{15}+24x^{48}y^{12}+12x^{51}y^{9}$ 



### Last Page

Thank you very much for your attention.

- M. Braun, A. Kohnert, A. Wassermann: Optimal Linear Codes From Matrix Groups, submitted, 2004
- list of new codes including generator matrix and weight distribution: http://linearcodes.uni-bayreuth.de
- A. E. Brouwer has current bounds: http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/voorlincod.html

