On permutation codes in given permutation groups ALCOMA10, Thurnau, 2010

Gábor Péter Nagy, University of Szeged (Hungary)

Joint work with Peter Müller (Univ. of Würzburg, Germany)

April 12, 2010

Overview



2 Main results



Definition: Permutation

A permutation of degree *n* is a bijection of the set Ω of cardinality *n* onto itself. (Usually, $\Omega = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.)

A permutation can be represented either by an *n*-tuple, or by a permutation matrix, or in cyclic form.

Definition: Hamming distance of permutations

Let x, y permutations of degree n. Then, $d(x, y) = |\{i \mid i^x \neq i^y\}|$.

Example: x = [23145], y = [53421].

Definition: Permutation

A permutation of degree *n* is a bijection of the set Ω of cardinality *n* onto itself. (Usually, $\Omega = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.)

A permutation can be represented either by an *n*-tuple, or by a permutation matrix, or in cyclic form.

Definition: Hamming distance of permutations

Let x, y permutations of degree n. Then, $d(x, y) = |\{i \mid i^x \neq i^y\}|$.

Example:
$$x = [23145], y = [53421].$$
 $d(x, y) = 4.$

Definition: Permutation

A permutation of degree *n* is a bijection of the set Ω of cardinality *n* onto itself. (Usually, $\Omega = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.) A permutation can be represented either by an *n*-tuple, or by a permutation matrix, or in cyclic form.

Definition: Hamming distance of permutations

Let x, y permutations of degree n. Then, $d(x, y) = |\{i \mid i^x \neq i^y\}|$.

Example:
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 01000\\ 00100\\ 10000\\ 00010\\ 00001 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $y = \begin{pmatrix} 00001\\ 00100\\ 00010\\ 01000\\ 10000 \end{pmatrix}$

Definition: Permutation

A permutation of degree *n* is a bijection of the set Ω of cardinality *n* onto itself. (Usually, $\Omega = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.) A permutation can be represented either by an *n*-tuple, or by a permutation matrix, or in cyclic form.

Definition: Hamming distance of permutations

Let x, y permutations of degree n. Then, $d(x, y) = |\{i \mid i^x \neq i^y\}|$.

Example:
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 01000\\ 00100\\ 10000\\ 00010\\ 00001 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $y = \begin{pmatrix} 00001\\ 00100\\ 00010\\ 01000\\ 10000 \end{pmatrix}$. $d = 4$

Definition: Permutation

A permutation of degree *n* is a bijection of the set Ω of cardinality *n* onto itself. (Usually, $\Omega = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.)

A permutation can be represented either by an *n*-tuple, or by a permutation matrix, or in cyclic form.

Definition: Hamming distance of permutations

Let x, y permutations of degree n. Then, $d(x, y) = |\{i \mid i^x \neq i^y\}|$.

Example: x = (123), y = (234)(15).

Definition: Permutation

A permutation of degree *n* is a bijection of the set Ω of cardinality *n* onto itself. (Usually, $\Omega = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.)

A permutation can be represented either by an *n*-tuple, or by a permutation matrix, or in cyclic form.

Definition: Hamming distance of permutations

Let x, y permutations of degree n. Then, $d(x, y) = |\{i \mid i^x \neq i^y\}|$.

Example: $x = (123), y = (234)(15). xy^{-1} = (1435), d = 4.$

Permutation codes and Latin squares

Definition: Permutation codes (or arrays)

A permutation code (or array) of length *n* and distance *d* is a set T of permutations from some fixed set of n symbols such that the Hamming distance between each distinct $x, y \in T$ is at least d.

An example with n = 3 and d = 2 in matrix form: $\begin{pmatrix} 231312 \\ 323121 \end{pmatrix}$

112233

Proposition (folklore)

 $|T| \leq d(d+1)\cdots n.$

Proof. Put t = n - d + 1 and look at the first t rows. Then, all columns give different arrangement of length t; $|T| \leq \frac{n!}{(n-t)!}$.

Permutation codes and sharply multiply transitive sets

Definition: Sharply *t*-transitive sets of permutations

The set S of permutations of degree n is sharply *t*-transitive, if for any *t*-tuples $(i_1, \ldots, i_t), (j_1, \ldots, j_t)$ there is a unique element $s \in S$ such that $i_k^s = j_k$ for all k. $(1 \le i_k, j_k \le n.)$

- Notice that for a sharply *t*-transitive set *S*, we have $d(x, y) \ge n t + 1$ for all $x, y \in S$.
- Thus, sharply *t*-transitive sets are precisely the permutation codes of maximal size with parameter d = n t + 1.
- If S is a sharply *t*-transitive set of degree *n*, then it is a sharply 1-transitive set on

$$\Omega = \{(i_1,\ldots,i_t) \mid i_k \neq i_\ell \text{ if } k \neq \ell\}.$$

Sharply 1 and 2-transitive sets

- Sharply 1-transitive sets of permutations are Latin squares.
- $\left(\begin{array}{c} 12345\\ 21453\\ 35124\\ 43512\\ 54231 \end{array}\right).$

 $\bullet~$ Let ${\mathbb F}$ be a field and consider the set

$$S = \{x \mapsto ax + b \mid a \in \mathbb{F}^*, b \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

of $\mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$ maps. Then, S is a sharply 2-transitive set of permutations.

- It is well known that a sharply 2-transitive set of degree *n* corresponds to an affine plane of order *n*. [Witt, 1938]
- MAIN PROBLEM: Construct 2-transitive sets of **not** prime power degree!!!

Finite 2-transitive permutation groups

Program from the 1970's (Lorimer, O'Nan, Grundhöfer, Müller)

Show for classes of 2-transitive finite groups that they don't contain sharply 2-transitive sets.

The classification of finite 2-transitive permutation groups uses the CTFSG.

- Groups of affine type. Such groups are vector spaces + matrix groups over a finite field. The degree is prime power.
- Almost simple groups. Groups with deep combinatorial and finite geometric structure.
- "No structure" at all: A_n, S_n .
- Hard nuts: Mathieu and other sporadic groups, PSp(2n, 2).
- Still open: A_n , S_n , M_{24} .

Methods

Existing methods, used for some specific permutation action of 2-transitive permutation groups:

- Enumeration methods by Lorimer (1973) deals with the groups of Ree type, $PU(3, q^2)$ and the Suzuki groups.
- O'Nan's contradicting subgroup method (1985) was used to exclude the groups PΓL(m, q) (m ≥ 3 or q ≥ 5), and the Higman-Sims sporadic simple group.
- The character theoretical method by Grundhöfer and P. Müller (2008) deals with PSp(2d, 2) and the Conway group Co_3 .
- Computational methods using Östergård's CLIQUER and Soicher's GRAPE programs.

The main lemma

Main Lemma

Let G be a permutation group on a finite set Ω . Assume that there are subsets B, C of Ω and a prime p such that $p \nmid |B||C|$ and $p \mid |B \cap C^g|$ for all $g \in G$. Then G contains no sharply transitive set of permutations.

Proof. Assume $S \subseteq G$ is a sharply transitive set. By double counting the set

$$\{(b,c,s) \mid b \in B, c \in C, s \in S, c^s = b\},\$$

we obtain $|B||C| = \sum_{s \in S} |B \cap C^s| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Contradiction.

1st application: Sharply 1-transitive sets in M_{22}

Theorem 1

In its natural permutation representation of degree 22, the Mathieu group M_{22} does not contain a sharply transitive set of permutations.

Proof.

- Let $\Omega' = \{1, \ldots, 23\}$, $\Omega = \{1, \ldots, 22\}$ and $G = M_{22}$ be the stabilizer of $23 \in \Omega'$.
- Let $B \subset \Omega$ be a block of the Witt design W_{23} , and, $C = \Omega \setminus B$.
- Then, |B| = 7, |C| = 15 and for all $g \in G$, $|B \cap C^g| = 0, 4$ or 6.
- The Main Lemma implies the result with p = 2.

2nd application: Sharply 1-transitive sets in $Sp(2n, 2^m)$

Theorem 2

Let n, m be positive integers, $n \ge 2$, $q = 2^m$. Let G = Sp(2n, q) be the permutation group in its natural permutation actions on $\Omega = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, G does not contain a sharply transitive set of permutations.

Proof.

- Let \mathcal{E} be an elliptic quadric of PG(2n 1, q) whose quadratic equation polarizes to the invariant symplectic form $\langle ., . \rangle$ of G.
- Let ℓ be a line of PG(2n − 1, q) which is nonsingular with respect to ⟨.,.⟩.
- Then for any $g \in G$, ℓ^g is nonsingular and $|\mathcal{E} \cap \ell^g| = 0$ or 2.
- Furthermore, both |*E*| and |*ℓ*| are odd for *n* ≥ 2. We apply the Main Lemma with *B* = *E*, *C* = *ℓ* and *p* = 2.

3rd applitation: Sharply 2-transitive sets in A_n

Theorem 3

If $n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ then the alternating group A_n does not contain a sharply 2-transitive set of permutations.

Proof.

- Put $B = \{(i,j) \mid i < j\}, \quad C = \{(i,j) \mid i > j\}.$
- By the assumption on n, |B| = |C| = n(n-1)/2 is odd.
- For any permutation $g \in S_n$, we have

 $|\{(i,j) \mid i < j, i^g > j^g\}| \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(g) \pmod{2}.$

• This implies $|B \cap C^g| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $g \in A_n$.

Corollary

The Mathieu group M_{23} does not contain a sharply 2-transitive set.

A combinatorial proof of O'Nan's theorem

Theorem (Lorimer, 1973)

If $k \ge 2$ and $q \ge 5$, then $G = P\Gamma L(k, q)$ does not contain a sharply 2-transitive set of permutations.

Theorem (O'Nan, 1985)

 $G = P\Gamma L(k, q)$ does not contain a sharply 2-transitive set of permutations unless k = 2 and q = 2, 3, 4.

Proof. Uses character theory. \Box Sharp.

Theorem (Peter Müller, GN, 2009)

The automorphism group G of a nontrivial symmetric design D does not contain a sharply 2-transitive set of permutations.

Proof. Combinatorial. \Box Put D = PG(k - 1, q) for $k \ge 3$.